

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/554,177 | CLEVELAND ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | James O. Hansen | 3637 |

All Participants:

(1) James O. Hansen. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr. Dennis Danella. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2010

Time: ~

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Pending prior art rejections

Claims discussed:

claims on record, specifically claims 1 & 5

Prior art documents discussed:

art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/James O. Hansen/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In an effort to advance the prosecution of the application process [compact prosecution], the examiner contacted applicant and proposed amendments to the claims [basically, adding the essence of claim 5 limitations within claim 1 while incorporating additional structure relative to the upper shell portion as it relates to the base in order to obviate potential prior art rejections] so as to place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant faxed the examiner proposed amendments to the claims incorporating the aspects as disclosed above; after review and consideration, the examiner approved the proposed changes with minor modifications. Applicant agreed to the final amendments as outlined in the attached examiner's amendment .